Ruthenium-Catalyzed Hydrative Cyclization of 1,5-Enynes
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Transition-metal-catalyzed alkyne addition is a process of wide utility for the formation of carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bonds with high regio- and stereocontrol.1 While numerous strategies have been developed to effect carbofunctionalization via a 1,2-addition process (eq 1), such a reaction enabling a multicomponent coupling has remained elusive in the 1,1-mode due to the susceptibility of the putative vinylmetal intermediate to a protic quench (eq 2).2,3 Given the facility with which metal vinylidenes are available from alkynes and the versatility of a metal–carbon σ-bond, such tandem 1,1-addition reactions would be of significant utility in organic synthesis. Herein, we describe a ruthenium-catalyzed hydrative cyclization of 1,5-enynes that occurs through a 1,1-difunctionalization of terminal alkynes.

Our initial studies focused on testing the feasibility of an internal Michael acceptor to intercept the vinylmetal species emanating from an attack of a nucleophile to the vinylidene complex (Scheme 1). The addition of benzoic acid to 1 under ruthenium catalysis,4 however, did not provide 3, but resulted in the formation of 2 in 70% yield. The exclusive Z-selectivity of 2 suggests that the reaction involves B as the intermediate rather than C, which is required for cyclization.5 Noting the reports on ruthenium-catalyzed anti-Markovnikov hydration,6 we reasoned that this problem of geometric isomerism might be circumvented by targeting the acylmetal species would then undergo a C–C bond-forming cyclization with the pendant alkene to form 5, overriding a reductive elimination pathway to 4.7

On the basis of the mechanistic proposition, hydrative cyclization of 1 was examined using various ruthenium catalysts (Table 1). Following literature protocols,6 1 was subjected to conditions known to promote anti-Markovnikov hydration (entries 1–3). These catalyst systems, however, induced neither cyclization nor hydration, returning mainly starting material (ca. 80%). In contrast, employing non-half-sandwich ruthenium complexes and dppm produced the desired cyclopentanone 5 along with the Markovnikov hydration adduct 6 and reduced products 7–9 (entries 4 and 5).8 Further screening revealed the combination of [(p-cymene)RuCl2] and dppm (entry 6) to be a superior catalyst which improved the yield of 5 to 64% while minimizing formation of the byproducts. The use of dppm as the ligand proved to be critical as reactions with other mono- and diphosphine ligands gave poor results (entries 7–10).

To gain insight into the active catalyst, all of the reagents employed in entry 6 of Table 1 were reacted in the absence of 1 (Scheme 2). This reaction led to decomposition of p-cymene from the ruthenium center and formation of 10, which upon anion exchange, afforded the trinuclear ruthenium 11 of 3-fold symmetry.9 Both 10 and 11 were air and moisture stable and found to be more effective than the in situ generated catalyst for the cyclization of 1, furnishing 5 in 76 and 80% isolated yield, respectively (2 mol % catalyst loading). However, it is unclear whether these trinuclear complexes themselves are the active catalyst or mere progenitors of mononuclear complexes.

The hydrative cyclization process was further examined with an assortment of substrates using trinuclear complex 11 as the catalyst (Table 2). A range of 1,5-enynes with α,β-unsaturated ketones, ...
Cyclization may occur through a hydroacylation or Michael cyclization of an acylmetal species onto the alkene. Although the ruthenium vinylidene, an anti-Markovnikov addition of water, and compounds the combined yield of the uncyclized products analogous to isolated yields. A single diastereomer was obtained as the product. All reactions were performed with 0.2 mmol of substrate, 10 mmol of H₂O, and 2 mol % of 1,5-Enynes. Efforts are currently directed at further expanding the scope of the transformation.
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